阿洛斯 网络河南西山村



UNITED STATES DEPa: TMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

QROUP ART UNIT 288/ *U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704

					AK
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
n9/318_159	05/25/99 RI	HODES	н	M4065.0335	/P
NM92/1229 THOMAS J. D AMICO, ESQ.			MUNSON.	EXAMINER N., G	
		& OSHINSKY LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2101 L STRE			2811		15
			ÐA	TE MAILED: 12/29/00	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☑ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) X is extended to run or continues to run THREE MONTHS from the date of the final rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed <u>13 DEC 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
·
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
Claims rejected:
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Applicant's response has evercome the following rejection(s).
4. The attention request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because contany to response (p.2), page 3 of specification does not specify "another" dielectric other than grown or CVD silicon devide which are both shown does rather than "diefermin" dielectric materials. Contrary to response (p.3-7), applicates
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown, good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Afficially lies in the breakth of the claims which to not distinguish over subportions of dislecting indicator region; and subportion of a substrate list indicator region) in the references. All the references of the proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Lone th. Thurson
☐ Other ☐ Control ☐ Contr
FYAMINED